1

2

Ĭ	Cl	FILED ERK, U.S. DISTRICT COUR	т	
		DEC - 1 2011		
	CEN BY	TRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFO	RNIA PUTY	

J	BY			
4				
5				
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
7	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
8	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)			
9	Plaintiff, CASE NO. CM 95-595-SJW			
11	v. }			
12	JAIME CISNERS SORDER OF DETENTION			
13	[Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.1(a)(6); 18 U.S.C. § 3143 (a)]			
14	Defendant.) 18 U.S.C. § 3143 (a)]			
15				
16	I.			
17	The defendant having been arrested in this District pursuant to a warrant			
18	issued by the United States District Court for the Comm District Court			
19	for alleged violation(s) of the terms and conditions of his/her [probation]			
20	[supervised release]; and			
21	The Court having conducted a detention hearing pursuant to Federal Rule			
22	of Criminal Procedure 32.1(a)(6) and 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a),			
23	The Court finds that:			
24	A. () The defendant has not met his/her burden of establishing by clear and			
25	convincing evidence that he/she is not likely to flee if released under			
26	18 U.S.C. § 3142(b) or (c). This finding is based on			
27	- CANOR + CROWER			
28	No BAL MS			

Case $2\eta 95$ -cr-00595-SVW Document 61 Filed 12/01/11 Page 2 of 2 Page ID #:27